TEL 716.714.5699 • FAX 716.714.5716 9 Olean Street • East Aurora, New York 14052

Peter J. Sorgl, Esq. • cell 716.908.3289 • psorgl@hopkinssorgl.com

September 6, 2012

Sardinia Town Board 12320 Savage Road Sardinia, New York 14134-0219

Re:

Petition for Amendment to Zoning Ordinance to Create a Sand, Clay and Gravel Mining Overlay District
Our File No. 0001.7

Dear Sardinia Town Board:

On behalf of our client, Gernatt Asphalt Products, Inc., this letter and its enclosures shall serve as our Petition for Amendment to the Town of Sardinia Zoning Ordinance to create a sand, clay and gravel mining overlay district. This Petition is made pursuant to Town of Sardinia Zoning Ordinance § 115-46, New York Town Law § 265 and the Town of Sardinia Planning Board's resolution recommending creation of said overlay district.

This petition is the result of our original petition dated April 9, 2012, annexed hereto as Exhibit A, and our first amended petition dated July 5, 2012, annexed hereto as Exhibit B. This petition requests creation of a sand, clay and gravel mining overlay district as recommended by the Town of Sardinia Planning Board's Resolution passed August 15, 2012 and dated August 17, 2012, annexed hereto as Exhibit C.

The Town Planning Board's Resolution recommended creation of a sand, clay and gravel mining overlay district which would state as follows:

Sand and Gravel Mines that are located in the attached overlay district and have a New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Mining Permit where through conditions set forth by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation or by Declarations of Restrictions recorded with the Erie County Clerk by the property owner require as follows:

 a) Concurrent reclamation to the maximum extent possible; and b) Mitigation for the loss of prime farm land as a result of mining and reclamation shall proceed on an acre-for-acre basis as prime farmland is permanently removed from production. For every acre permanently removed from production, another off-site must be benefitted or improved within one year from said removal. Said mitigation shall be located in the Town of Sardinia. Acceptable mitigation methods shall include, but not be limited to, assisting Town of Sardinia farmers by offering grading, drainage and erosion control improvements with machinery, personnel or funds or securing development rights and conservation easements on other properties. Holder of mining permit to submit to Sardinia Town Board, Sardinia Planning Board and Sardinia Town Clerk to be available for public review a summary of mitigation projects accepted by Erie County Soil and Water Conservation District, including locations, acreages and methods.

Note that the addition of the overlay district does not remove any rights property owners within the overlay district currently have, rather it adds an additional right. The mining overlay district cannot possibly be mined in its entirety, because much of the land is either encumbered by other uses, has no sand, clay or gravel, or has natural features such as wetlands which would prohibit mining. It was the Planning Board's decision to limit the district to a geographical area of the Town, excepting small lots with homes or other structures such as those in the Hamlet of Chaffee.

A list of the properties to be included in the overlay district is annexed hereto as Exhibit D.

Legal Procedure for granting of Amendment to Zoning Ordinance

Pursuant to Town of Sardinia Zoning Ordinance § 115-46 and New York Town Law § 265, the Town Board, after a public hearing and legal notice, has the authority to grant any amendment to the Zoning Ordinance.

New York General Municipal Law § 239-m requires that prior to a vote by the Town Board, this Petition must be referred to Erle County Department of Environment and Planning for a recommendation. A full application to be submitted to the Erle County Department of Environment and Planning is submitted herewith.

2

Accordingly, approximately 293 acres of mineable land remains in the Town, which is 589 acres less than the 882 acres used by the Town in formulating its Comprehensive Plan in 2003.

The depletion of mineable acres of land is not consistent with the public policy of the State of New York which is set forth in New York Environmental Conservation Law § 23-2703 which states:

The legislature hereby declares that it is the policy of this state to foster and encourage the development of an economically sound and stable mining industry, and the orderly development of domestic mineral resources and reserves necessary to assure satisfaction of economic needs compatible with sound environmental management practices.

The Comprehensive Plan specifically addresses the need for mining, but also the need to limit mining through zoning. To wit, at page 45 of the Comprehensive Plan, the following is stated: "use zoning regulations to limit the areas in the Town in which commercial gravel mines are permitted to operate." In the several months of Planning Board Meetings, the Planning Board followed the direction of page 45 of the Comprehensive Plan by limiting the area where mining could occur. Accordingly, neither the omission of mining from the zoning ordinance nor the inclusion of the entire Town would be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. Therefore, the Planning Board's recommendation and this Petition are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan because the overlay district allows, but limits mining to certain areas, as required by page 45 of the Comprehensive Plan.

The following general provisions of the Comprehensive Plan also support this Petition:

- Economic and Business Development "Maintain a supportive business environment to retain existing businesses and to attract new business development." – page 89;
- Economic and Business Development "Encourage commercial and industrial expansion and industrial development in appropriate areas of the Town." – page 89;
- Transportation and Infrastructure "Maintain and improve the quality of roads and highways in the Town." – page 143; and
- "Maintain a supportive business environment to retain existing businesses and to attract new business development." - page 170.

4

Explanation of SEQR as it Applies to the Petition

This Petition is subject to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR"). The legislative intent of SEQR expressly recognizes that environmental factors are not the sole consideration in decision-making. The SEQR regulations expressly state:

It was the intention of the Legislature that the protection and enhancement of the environment, human and community resources should be given appropriate weight with social and economic considerations in determining public policy, and that those factors be considered together in reaching decisions on proposed activities. Accordingly, it is the intention of this Part that a suitable balance of social, economic and environmental factors be incorporated into the planning and decision-making processes of state, regional and local agencies. It is not the intention of SEQR that environmental factors be the sole consideration in decision-making.²

The Town Board must be the "Lead Agency" because the Town Board is the sole government entity with approval power over this Petition.³

As to the SEQR Review, the review is limited to the proposed new overlay district, which is why portions of the Environmental Assessment Form, submitted herewith, are inapplicable. Any new mining would have to go through separate, individual environmental reviews.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, it is requested that this Petition be granted. Thank you for your consideration. If there are any questions or if any further information is required, please contact me.

Sincerely,

HOPKINS & SORGI PLLC

Peter J Sog

Peter J. Sorgi, Esq.

Enc.

² 6 NYCRR 617.1(d)

^{3 6} NYCRR 617.2(u)

cc: Town Planning Board

Linda Joseph, Esq., Town Attorney

Betsy Marsh, Town Clerk

Kenneth F. Ziccarelli, Vice President, Gernatt Asphalt Products, Inc.

Exhibit A

SEP-06-2012 17:19 From:1111

TEL 716.714.5699 • FAX 716.714.5715 9 Olean Street • East Aurora, New York 14052

Peter J. Sorgi, Esq. ■ ceil 716.908.3289 ■ psorgi@hopkinssorgi.com

April 9, 2012

Sardinia Town Board 12320 Savage Road Sardinia, New York 14134-0219

Re:

Petition for Amendment to Zoning Ordinance

Our File No. 0001.7

Dear Sardinia Town Board:

On behalf of our client, Gernatt Asphalt Products, Inc., this letter and its enclosures shall serve as a Petition for Amend the Town of Sardinia Zoning Ordinance. This Petition is made pursuant to Town of Sardinia Zoning Ordinance § 115-46 and New York Town Law § 265.

Proposed Amendment to the Zoning Ordinance

This Petition requests that Town of Sardinia Zoning Ordinance § 115-17.1(A)(1)(j) be added to include as follows: "Sand and Gravel Mines which require a New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Permit". This amendment would allow Sand and Gravel Mines to be operated in the AR District, but would not change any current permitted use.

Please note that any mining of the property within the AR District would still have to go through an application to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation which would limit and control the amount of land to be mined. Additionally, while the AR District constitutes the majority of the Town of Sardinia, other factors such as presence of wetlands, size of parcels and availability of sand and gravel would further limit and control the amount of land to be mined.

Legal Procedure for granting of Amendment to Zoning Ordinance

Pursuant to Town of Sardinia Zoning Ordinance § 115-46 and New York Town Law § 265, the Town Board, after a public hearing and legal notice, has the authority to grant any amendment to the Zoning Ordinance.

Town of Sardinia Zoning Ordinance § 115-48(B) requires that this Petition be referred to the Town Planning Board and the Planning Board must make a recommendation on the Petition or may recommend modifications to the Petition. We hereby request that the Town Board refer this manner to the Planning Board for recommendation or for modification of the application.

Additionally, New York General Municipal Law § 239-m requires that prior to a vote by the Town Board, this Petition must be referred to Erie County Department of Environment and Planning for a recommendation.

Additionally, the Town Board, as lead agency, must make a Determination of Significance pursuant to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR"). This determination must occur prior to Town Board approval of this Petition.

Legal Standard for granting of Amendment to Zoning Ordinance

Pursuant to New York Town Law § 272-a(11)(a), "All town land use regulations must be in accordance with a comprehensive plan adopted pursuant to this section". The Town of Sardinia has a Comprehensive Plan, adopted in 2003. The New York State Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department has recognized that town comprehensive plans should be flexible and should adapt to changes over time.

Grounds for Granting of Petition

The Town Comprehensive Plan recognizes the need for change, as it states at page 1 that the Plan will influence changes in Town zoning ... during the next 10 to 15 years". Accordingly, the below chart indicates how the Town Comprehensive Plan is outdated as to sand and gravel mining within the Town.

2003 Data from Comprehensive Plan	Current Data per NYSDEC
882 acres of permitted mines (page 41)	514 acres of permitted mines

Additionally, the acreage in the above table only contemplates acreage permitted by the NYSDEC and does not deduct mineable acreages remaining. The following table estimates the mineable acreages remaining in the Town.

¹ Kravetz v. Plenge, 84 AD2d 422, 429-430 (4th Dept 1982) ("Enactments to change zoning plans should be analyzed in terms of consistency and rationality, with the requirement they not conflict with the fundamental land use policies and development plans of the community, keeping in mind that a comprehensive plan must be flexible and subject to some changes. Changed conditions call for changed plans, and owners of property in a particular zone enjoy no eternally vested rights to its classification if the public interest demands otherwise. Although stability and regularity are essential to the operation of zoning plans, zoning is not static; the obligation is the support of comprehensive planning with recognition of the dynamics of change, not a slavish servitude to any particular plan.") (internal citations omitted).

Mine	Mineable Acreage
Gernatt - Gabel Thomas	200
Gernatt - Theif Road	7
Gernatt - Sardinia South	37
Gernatt - Rt. 39 Clay Pit	6
Morris	3
Woodruff	33
Waste Management	7
Total	293

Accordingly, approximately 293 acres of mineable land remains in the Town, which is 589 acres less than the 882 acres used by the Town in formulating its Comprehensive Plan in 2003.

The depletion of mineable acres of land is not consistent with the public policy of the State of New York which is set forth in New York Environmental Conservation Law § 23-2703 which states:

"The legislature hereby declares that it is the policy of this state to foster and encourage the development of an economically sound and stable mining industry, and the orderly development of domestic mineral resources and reserves necessary to assure satisfaction of economic needs compatible with sound environmental management practices."

The following general provisions of the Comprehensive Plan also support this Petition:

- Economic and Business Development "Maintain a supportive business environment to retain existing businesses and to attract new business development." – page 89;
- Economic and Business Development "Encourage commercial and industrial expansion and industrial development in appropriate areas of the Town." – page 89;
- Transportation and Infrastructure "Maintain and improve the quality of roads and highways in the Town." – page 143; and
- "Maintain a supportive business environment to retain existing businesses and to attract new business development." page 170.

Thank you for your consideration of this Petition. If you have any questions or require further information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

HOPKINS & SORGI PLLC

Peter J. Sorgi, Esq.

Enc.

cc: Town Planning Board

Linda Joseph, Esq., Town Attorney

Betsy Marsh, Town Clerk

Marvin Zielonka, Code Enforcement Officer

Kenneth F. Ziccarelli, Vice President, Gernatt Asphalt Products, Inc.

Exhibit B

SEP-06-2012 17:19 From: 1111

TEL 716.714.5699 • FAX 716.714.5715 9 Olean Street • East Aurora, New York 14052

Peter J. Sorgi, Esq. ■ celi 716.908.3289 ■ psorgi@hopkinssorgi.com

July 5, 2012

Sardinia Planning Board 12320 Savage Road Sardinia, New York 14134-0219

Re:

Petition for Amendment to Zoning Ordinance

Our File No. 0001.7

Dear Sardinia Planning Board:

On behalf of our client, Gernatt Asphalt Products, Inc., this letter shall serve as an amendment to our Petition for rezoning dated April 9, 2012. In the initial Petition, we sought amendment to the zoning ordinance to allow sand and gravel mines in the AR District as a matter of right. After hearing concerns from the Planning Board relative to the scope of the amendment and the potential loss of farmland, we hereby amend the Petition to address these concerns.

Amended Proposed Amendment to the Zoning Ordinance

The Petition is hereby amended to request that Town of Sardinia Zoning Ordinance § 115-17.1(A)(1)(j) be added to include as follows:

Sand and Gravel Mines that have i) a New York State
Department of Environmental Conservation Mining Permit
where through conditions set forth by the New York State
Department of Environmental Conservation or by
Declarations of Restrictions recorded with the Erie County
Clerk by the property owner require as follows:

 Concurrent reclamation to the maximum extent possible; and

1

b) Mitigation for the loss of prime farm land as a result of mining and reclamation shall proceed on an acrefor-acre basis as prime farmland is permanently removed from production. For every acre permanently removed from production, another offsite must be benefitted or improved within one year from said removal. Said mitigation shall be located in the Town of Sardinia. Acceptable mitigation methods shall include, but not be limited to, assisting Town of Sardinia farmers by offering grading, drainage and erosion control improvements with machinery, personnel or funds or securing development rights and conservation easements on other properties. Holder of mining permit to submit to Sardinia Town Board, Sardinia Planning Board and Sardinia Town Clerk to be available for public review a summary of mitigation projects accepted by Erie County Soil and Water Conservation District, including locations, acreages and methods.

This amended petition accomplishes four very important goals;

- a) It ensures a healthy mining industry in accordance with the State of New York and the Town of Sardinia Comprehensive Plan;
- b) It addresses concerns about loss of farmland and assists Town of Sardinia farmers;
- c) It ensures reclamation of mined property; and
- d) It is fair in that it allows property owners in the AR District another option to utilize their land and increase their land values consistent with the conditions set forth above.

Thank you for your consideration of this Amendment to our Petition. Please contact me with any questions.

Sincerely,

HOPKINS & SORGIPLLC

Peter J Sorg

Peter J. Sorgi, Esq.

Enc.

cc: Town Board

Linda Joseph, Esq., Town Attorney

Betsy Marsh, Town Clerk

Kenneth F. Ziccarelli, Vice President, Gernatt Asphalt Products, Inc.

Exhibit C

3P\\[\T \: \frac{1111}{2} \] SEP-06-2012 17:20 From: 1111

I CAATY AS PARTATION YAN Planning Board

12320 Savage Road P.O. Box 219 Sardinia, NY 14134 Tel. (716) 496-8900 ext. 16 Fax (716) 496-8917 sardinia.planningboard@gmail.com



Members:

Robert Church Darren Farthing Dan Szustakowski Keith Reynolds Vincent Perry Roxanna Waters

Secretary:

Colleen Cottrell

Friday, August 17, 2012

To: Peter J. Sorgi

Re: Proposed local law for mining overlay

On Wednesday, August 15, 2012 the following amendment was voted on and passed by the Sardinia Planning Board for proposed local law.

Town of Sardinia Zoning Ordinance § 115-17.1(A) (1) (j) be added to include as follows:

Sand and Gravel Mines that have are located in the attached overlay district and have a New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Mining Permit where through conditions set forth by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation or by Declarations of Restrictions recorded with the Erie County Clerk by the property owner require as follows:

a) Concurrent reclamation to the maximum extent possible; and

b) Mitigation for the loss of prime farm land as a result of mining and reclamation shall proceed on an acre-for-acre basis as prime farmland is permanently removed from production. For every acre permanently removed from production, another off-site must be benefitted or improved within one year From said removal. Said mitigation shall be located in the Town of Sardinia. Acceptable mitigation methods shall include, but not be limited to, assisting Town of Sardinia farmers by offering grading, drainage and erosion control improvements with machinery, personnel or funds or securing development rights and conservation easements on other properties. Holder of mining permit to submit to Sardinia Town Board, Sardinia Planning Board and Sardinia Town Clerk to be available for public review a summary of mitigation projects accepted by Erie County Soil and Water Conservation District, including locations, acreages and methods.

> Sincerely, Colleen Cottrell Planning Board Secretary

SEP-06-2012 17:20 From:1111

Exhibit D

SEP-06-2012 17:20 From: 1111

Name	Address	SBL Number
Banks, Dawn	12955 Allen Rd.	295.00-3-28.112
Buncy, Arthur & Carol	13000 Allen Rd.	295.00-3-4.1
Chaffee Community Baptist	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-3.2
Chaffee Community Baptist	12980 Genesee Rd,	311.00-2-4.11
Chaffee Community Baptist	VL Allen Rd.	295,00-3-32
Cid Landfill Inc.	VL Hand Rd.	295,00-2-1,111
Cid Landfill Inc.	13029 Hand Rd.	295.00-2-11.111
Cid Landfill Inc.	VL Allen Ad.	295.00-3-1.11
Cld Landfill Inc.	VL Curriers Rd.	295.00-2-24.12
Cid Landfill Inc.	10820 Olean Rd.	295.00-2-33
Cid Landfill Inc.	10820 Olean Rd.	295.00-2-34
Cid Landfill Inc.	10880 Olean Rd.	295.00-2-35
Cid Landfill Inc.	10846 Olean Ad.	295.00-2-17
Cid Landfill Inc.	10860 Olean Rd.	295.00-2-18.1
Fisher, Robert E &	13045 Allen Rd.	295.00-3-29.1
Frost, Gordon R.	11600 Olean Rd.	295.00-3-21
George, Gerald A.	11209 Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-15.1
George, Russell M,	VL Olean Rd.	312.00-1-5.2
Gernatt Asphalt Products Inc.	VL Olean Rd.	311.00-2-5.1
Gernatt Asphalt Products Inc.	11860 Olean Rd.	311.00-2-14.21
Gernatt Asphalt Products Inc.	VL Olean Rd.	295.00-3-22
Hall, Kenneth & W	13079 Allen Rd.	295.00-3-27.1
Hammond, Steven J.	11720 Olean Rd.	311,00-2-6
Harmon, Michelle A.	11750 Olean Rd.	311.00-2-7
Haselden, Charles E.	13669 Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-13
Hawk, Ron	11630 Olean Rd,	311.00-2.5.2
Heiger, Dale E & Darlene	12950 Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-22.2
Hesslink, Marian	12994 Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-21
Holmes, David J.	12960 Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-22.1
Howell, Raiph A.	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-1-2.1
Jewert, Michael F.	13980 Genesee Rd.	312.00-1-4.2
Jewert, Michael J & W	13990 Genesee Rd.	312.00-1-4.11
Jewert, Michael J.	VL Genesee Rd.	312.00-1-4.12
Kramer, Jared	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-28.111
Kramer, John M.	VL Olean Rd.	311.00.2-3.1
Kramer, John M.	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-3.3
Kramer, John M.	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-2
Kramer, John M.	VL Allen Rd.	311.00-2-17.2
Kramer, John M.	VL Savage Rd.	311.00-2-26.2
Kramer, John M. Kramer, John M.	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-23.2
	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-3.11
Kramer, John M. Kramer, John M.	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-26
Kramer, John M.	VL Allen Rd. VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-5.1
Kramer, John M.		295,00-3-28.2
La Martina, Carol	VL Allen Rd. VL Genesee Rd.	295.00-3-33.1
La Scala, Samuel		311.00-2-4.21
La Scala, Samuel	12866 Genesee Rd. VL Savage Rd.	311.00-2-23.1
Lascala, Joseph A &	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-26.1 311.00-2-27.111
Lloyd-Jones, Mary	VL Olean Rd.	295,00-3-38
	V. Ojedii ita.	250100-0-00

ZEP-06-2012 17:20 From:1111

M K Phelps Farm Inc.	VL Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-10.2
M K Phelps Farm Inc.	11180 Curriers Rd.	295.00-2-24.11
Mitrowski, Patricia	13939 Curriers Ad.	280.00-1-27.1
Morrell, Douglas & Debra	VL Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-17.112
Morrell, Douglas & Debra	VL Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-17.12
Morrell, Douglas G & Debra	VL Savage Rd.	311.00-1-4.1
Morrell, Douglas G.	10989 Savage Rd.	295.00-1-1.1
Morrell, Dougles G.	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-36
Morris, William A & W.	13789 Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-11
Ornce, Nancy	11800 Olean Rd.	311,00-2-9
Ornce, Nancy & D & M &	VL Ólean Rd.	312.00-1-6.1
Ornce, Nancy & Dvd & Mtthw	VL Olean Rd.	311.00-2-8
Palmer, Bennett	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-27.112
Palmer, Bennett J. Jr,	VL Savage Rd.	311.00-1-5.2
Palmer, Bennett J. Jr.	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-1-6.1
Sawicki, Daniel T.	VL Savage Rd.	295,00-1-5
Shaw, Charles J.	11810 Olean Rd.	311.00-2-10
Springdale Lands LLC	13930 Curriers Rd,	280.00-1-24.111
Stojek, Richard S. Sr.	13170 Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-17.1
Tabraham, George L &	99990 Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-19
Thomas, John J. Sr. & Norma	VL Olean Rd.	311,00-2-14.1
Tillinghast, Leroy	13879 Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-10.1
Vesley, Richard E & W	12996 Genesee Rd,	311.00-2-20
Waterman, James C.	VL Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-24
Waterman, James C. &	13629 Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-14
Webster, Rober D &	11580 Savage Rd.	311.00-1-5.1
Weiglein, Glenn	113498 Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-18.2
Wiedenmann, Ted &	VL Curriers Rd.	296,00-1-23.11
Woodruff, Charles D.	11349 Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-18.1

3EP-06-2012 17:20 From:1111

617.20 Appendix A

State Environmental Quality Review FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

Purpose: The full EAF is designed to help applicants and agencies determine, in an orderly manner, whether a project or action may be significant. The question of whether an action may be significant is not always easy to answer. Frequently, there are aspects of a project that are subjective or unmeasurable. It is also understood that those who determine significance may have little or no formal knowledge of the environment or may not be technically expert in environmental analysis. In addition, many who have knowledge in one particular area may not be aware of the broader concerns affecting the question of significance.

The full EAF is intended to provide a method whereby applicants and agencies can be assured that the determination process has been orderly, comprehensive in nature, yet flexible enough to allow introduction of information to fit a project or action.

Full EAF Components: The full EAF is comprised of three parts:

- Part 1: Provides objective data and information about a given project and its site. By identifying basic project data, it assists a reviewer in the analysis that takes place in Parts 2 and 3.
- Part 2: Focuses on identifying the range of possible impacts that may occur from a project or action. It provides guidance as to whether an impact is likely to be considered small to moderate or whether it is a potentially-large impact. The form also identifies whether an impact can be mitigated or reduced.
- Part 3: If any impact in Part 2 is identified as potentially-large, then Part 3 is used to evaluate whether or not the impact is actually important.

THIS AREA FOR LEAD AGENCY USE ONLY

DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE -- Type 1 and Unlisted Actions

Hoor covious OF	tions of EAF completed for this project: the information recorded on this EAF (Parts 1 and the magnitude and importance of each impact, i	Part 1 Part 2 Part 3 2 and 3 if appropriate), and any other supporting information, and t is reasonably determined by the lead agency that:
A.	The project will not result in any large and im- significant impact on the environment, therefor	portant impact(s) and, therefore, is one which will not have a e a negative declaration will be prepared.
В.	Although the project could have a significant e for this Unlisted Action because the mitigation a CONDITIONED negative declaration will be p	offect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in measures described in PART 3 have been required, therefore prepared.*
c.	The project may result in one or more large an environment, therefore a positive declaration v	d important impacts that may have a significant impact on the vill be prepared.
	nditioned Negative Declaration is only valid for U	
Creati	on of Sand, Clay and Gravel Mining Overlay Distri	ct to the Sardinia Zoning Ordinance
A	Name	of Action
Sardir	nia Town Board	
	Name of L	ead Agency
Mary Hannon		Town Supervisor
Print or Type N	ame of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible Officer
Signature of Re	esponsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (If different from responsible officer)
website		Date

Page 1 of 21

SEP-06-2012 17:20 From:1111

PART 1--PROJECT INFORMATION Prepared by Project Sponsor

NOTICE: This document is designed to assist in determining whether the action proposed may have a significant effect on the environment. Please complete the entire form, Parts A through E. Answers to these questions will be considered as part of the application for approval and may be subject to further verification and public review. Provide any additional information you believe will be needed to complete Parts 2 and 3.

It is expected that completion of the full EAF will be dependent on information currently available and will not involve new studies, research or investigation. If information requiring such additional work is unavailable, so indicate and specify each instance

Name of Action Creation of Sand, Clay and Gravel Mining Overlay District to the Sardinia Zoning Ordinance			
Location of Action (include Street Address, Municipality and County) see attached			
Name of Applicant/Sponsor Gernatt Asphalt Products, Inc.			
Address 13870 Taylor Hollow Road			
City/PO Collins	State <u>NY</u>	Zip Code 14034	
Business Telephone 716.532.3371			
Name of Owner (if different)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***************************************	
Address	and the second s		
City/PO		Zip Code	
Business Telephone			
Description of Action:			

This action consists of the Creation of a Sand, Clay and Gravel Mining Overlay District to the Sardinia Zoning Ordinance.

The proposed Sand, Clay and Gravel Mining Overlay District would pertain to approximately 3,573 acres in the Town of Sardinia. The Town of Sardinia consists of approximately 32,000 acres, so the proposed Sand, Clay and Gravel Mining Overlay District would pertain to approximately 11% of the Town. However, the amount of mineable land will be substantially less than the approximately 3,573 acres due to existing land uses, the lack of sand, clay and gravel in certain areas and other factors such as the existence of wetlands which cannot be mined.

The proposed Sand, Clay and Gravel Mining Overlay District would encompass portions of the Town of Sardinia's AR (Agricultural / Residential), BL (Business / Light Industrial) and LC (Limited Commercial) zoning districts. All existing uses within these districts will remain unchanged.

In order for a property owner or his/her/its assigns to commence mining, the following conditions must be met:

- 1. A permit from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and compliance with all applicable Federal, State and Local laws and Regulations;
- 2. Concurrent Reclamation to the maximum extent possible; and
- 3. Mitigation for the loss of prime farm land, with said mitigation to take place within the Town of Sardinia.

This action is limited to the enactment of a local law. For any projects to commence new mining, a separate environmental review must take place upon application to the applicable government entity, therefore precise data requested below cannot be submitted at this time for future applications. This explains the omission of any answers below.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Address</u>	SBL Number
Banks, Dawn	12955 Allen Rd.	295.00-3-28.112
Buncy, Arthur & Carol	13000 Allen Rd.	295.00-3-4.1
Chaffee Community Baptist	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-3.2
Chaffee Community Baptist	12980 Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-4.11
Chaffee Community Baptist	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-32
Cid Landfill Inc.	VL Hand Rd.	295.00-2-1.111
Cid Landfill Inc.	13029 Hand Rd.	295.00-2-11.111
Cid Landfill Inc.	VL Allen Rd,	295.00-3-1.11
Cid Landfill Inc. Cid Landfill Inc.	VL Curriers Fld.	295.00-2-24.12
Cid Landfill Inc.	10820 Olean Rd.	295.00-2-33
Cid Landfill Inc.	10820 Olean Rd.	295.00-2-34
Cid Landfill Inc.	10880 Olean Rd.	295.00-2-35
Cid Landfill Inc.	10846 Olean Rd. 10860 Olean Rd.	295.00-2-17
Fisher, Robert E &	13045 Allen Rd.	295.00-2-18.1
Frost, Gordon R.	11600 Olean Rd.	295.00-3-29.1
George, Gerald A.	11209 Curriers Rd.	295.00-3-21 296.00-1-16.1
George, Russell M.	VL Olean Rd.	312.00-1-5.2
Gernatt Asphalt Products Inc.	VL Olean Rd.	311.00-2-5.1
Gernatt Asphalt Products Inc.	11860 Olean Rd.	311.00-2-14.21
Gernatt Asphalt Products Inc.	VL Olean Rd.	295.00-3-22
Hall, Kenneth & W	13079 Allen Rd.	295.00-3-27.1
Hammond, Steven J.	11720 Olean Rd.	311.00-2-6
Harmon, Michelle A.	11750 Ólean Ad.	311.00-2-7
Haselden, Charles E.	13669 Curriers Rd.	296,00-1-13
Hawk, Ron	11630 Olean Rd.	311.00-2.5,2
Heiger, Dale E & Darlene	12950 Genesee Rd.	311,00-2-22.2
Hesslink, Marian	12994 Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-21
Holmes, David J.	12960 Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-22,1
Howell, Ralph A.	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-1-2.1
Jewert, Michael F.	13980 Genesee Rd.	312.00-1-4.2
Jewert, Michael J & W	13990 Genesee Rd.	312.00-1-4.11
Jewert, Michael J.	VL Genesee Rd,	312.00-1-4.12
Kramer, Jared	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-28.111
Kramer, John M.	VL Olean Rd.	311.00.2-3.1
Kramer, John M.	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-3.3
Kramer, John M.	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-2
Kramer, John M.	VL Allen Rd.	311.00-2-17.2
Kramer, John M.	VL Savage Rd,	311.00-2-26.2
Kramer, John M.	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-23,2
Kramer, John M.	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-3.11
Kramer, John M.	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-26
Kramer, John M.	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-5.1
Kramer, John M.	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-28.2
Kramer, John M.	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-33.1
La Martina, Carol	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-4.21
La Scala, Samuel	12866 Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-23.1
La Scala, Samuel	VL Savage Rd.	311.00-26.1
Lascala, Joseph A &	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-27.111
Lloyd-Jones, Mary	VL Olean Rd.	295.00-3-38

SEP-06-2012 17:21 From: 1111 From: 1111

M K Phelps Farm Inc.	VL Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-10.2
M K Phelps Farm Inc.	11180 Curriers Rd.	295.00-2-24.11
Mitrowski, Patricia	13939 Curriers Rd.	280.00-1-27.1
Morrell, Douglas & Debra	VL Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-17.112
Morrell, Douglas & Debra	VL Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-17.12
Morrell, Douglas G & Debra	VL Savage Rd,	311.00-1-4.1
Morrell, Douglas G.	10989 Savage Rd.	295.00-1-1.1
Morrell, Dougles G.	VL Allen Rd.	295.00-3-36
Morris, William A & W.	13789 Curriers Ad.	296.00-1-11
Ornce, Nancy	11800 Olean Rd.	311.00-2-9
Ornce, Nancy & D & M &	VL Olean Rd.	312.00-1-6.1
Ornce, Nancy & Dvd & Mtthw	VL Olean Rd,	311.00-2-8
Palmer, Bennett	VL Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-27.112
Palmer, Bennett J. Jr.	VL Savage Rd.	311.00-1-5.2
Palmer, Bennett J. Jr.	VL Allen Rd.	295,00-1-6.1
Sawicki, Daniel T.	VL Savage Rd.	295.00-1-5
Shaw, Charles J.	11810 Olean Rd.	311.00-2-10
Springdale Lands LLC	13930 Curriers Ad.	280.00-1-24.111
Stojek, Richard S. Sr.	13170 Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-17.1
Tabraham, George L &	99990 Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-19
Thomas, John J. Sr. & Norma	VL Olean Rd.	311.00-2-14.1
Tillinghast, Leroy	13879 Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-10.1
Vesley, Richard E & W	12996 Genesee Rd.	311.00-2-20
Waterman, James C.	VL Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-24
Waterman, James C. &	13629 Curriers Rd.	296,00-1-14
Webster, Rober D &	11580 Savage Rd.	311.00-1-6.1
Weiglein, Glenn	113498 Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-18.2
Wiedenmann, Ted &	VL Curriers Rd,	296,00-1-23.11
Woodruff, Charles D.	11349 Curriers Rd.	296.00-1-18.1

Please Complete Each Question--Indicate N.A. if not applicable

A, SITE DESCRIPTION

Phy	sical setting of overall project, both developed and undeveloped areas.		
1.	Present Land Use: Urban Industrial Commercial Forest Agriculture ✓ Other Varies	Residential (suburban)	Rural (non-farm)
2.	Total acreage of project area: 3573 acres.		
	APPROXIMATE ACREAGE	PRESENTLY	AFTER COMPLETION
	Meadow or Brushland (Non-agricultural)	acres	acres
	Forested	<u>acres</u>	acres
	Agricultural (Includes orchards, cropland, pasture, etc.)	acres	acres
	Wetland (Freshwater or tidal as per Articles 24,25 of ECL)	acres	acres
	Water Surface Area	acres	acres
	Unvegetated (Rock, earth or fill)	acres	acres
	Roads, buildings and other paved surfaces	acres	acres
	Other (Indicate type) <u>varies</u>	<u>3573</u> acres	3573_ acres
3,	What is predominant soil type(s) on project site? not applicable a. Soil drainage: Well drained% of siteMode	erately well drained%	of site.
	 If any agricultural land is involved, how many acres of soil are classification System? <u>varies</u> acres (see 1 NYCRR 370). 	fied within soil group 1 throu	gh 4 of the NYS Land
4.	Are there bedrock outcroppings on project site? Yes No		
	a. What is depth to bedrock (in feet)		
5.	Approximate percentage of proposed project site with slopes:		
	0-10%%10- 15%%15% or greater	·%	
6.	Is project substantially contiguous to, or contain a building, site, or district Historic Places? Yes No	ct, listed on the State or Nati	onal Registers of
7.	Is project substantially contiguous to a site listed on the Register of Natio	nal Natural Landmarks?	Yes No
8.	What is the depth of the water table?(in feet)		
9.	Is site located over a primary, principal, or sole source aquifer?	Yes No	
10.	Do hunting, fishing or shell fishing opportunities presently exist in the pro	oject area? Yes	No

Page 3 of 21

ccording to:	
not applicable	
dentify each s	pecies:
re there any i	inique or unusual land forms on the project site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, other geological formations?
Yes	No
	
Describe:	
not applicable	
s the project s	ite presently used by the community or neighborhood as an open space or recreation area?
Yes	No
f yes, explain:	
not applicable	
	the feeting scorie views known to be important to the community? Yes No
Does the prese	ent site include scenic views known to be important to the community?
not applicable	
	or contiguous to project area:
not applicable	
a. Name of S	Stream and name of River to which it is tributary
not applicable	
Lakes, nonde	, wetland areas within or contiguous to project area:
not applicabl	
.,	
L	
b. Size (in a	

Page 4 of 21

17.	Is the site served by existing public utilities? Yes No
	a. If YES, does sufficient capacity exist to allow connection?
	b. If YES, will improvements be necessary to allow connection?
18.	Is the site located in an agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? Yes No
19.	Is the site located in or substantially contiguous to a Critical Environmental Area designated pursuant to Article 8 of the ECL, and 6 NYCRR 617? Yes No
20.	Has the site ever been used for the disposal of solid or hazardous wastes?
В.	Project Description
1.	Physical dimensions and scale of project (fill in dimensions as appropriate).
	Total contiguous acreage owned or controlled by project sponsor: acres.
	b. Project acreage to be developed:acres initially;acres ultimately.
	c. Project acreage to remain undeveloped:acres.
	d. Length of project, in miles: (if appropriate)
	e. If the project is an expansion, indicate percent of expansion proposed%
	f. Number of off-street parking spaces existing; proposed
	g. Maximum vehicular trips generated per hour: (upon completion of project)?
	h. If residential: Number and type of housing units:
	One Family Two Family Multiple Family Condominium
	Initially ———————————————————————————————————
	Ultimately ————————————————————————————————————
	i. Dimensions (in feet) of largest proposed structure:height;width;length.
	j. Linear feet of frontage along a public thoroughfare project will occupy is?ft.
2.	How much natural material (i.e. rock, earth, etc.) will be removed from the site?tons/cubic yards.
3.	Will disturbed areas be reclaimed Yes No N/A
	a. If yes, for what intended purpose is the site being reclaimed?
	Per NYSDEC mining permits either in existence presently or to be permitted in future
	b. Will topsoil be stockpiled for reclamation? Yes No
	c. Will upper subsoil be stockpiled for reclamation? Yes No
4	How many acres of vegetation (trees, shrubs, ground covers) will be removed from site?acres.

Page 5 of 21

5.	Will any mature forest (over 100 years old) or other locally-important vegetation be removed by this project?
	Yes No
6.	If single phase project: Anticipated period of construction: months, (including demolition)
7.	If multi-phased:
	a. Total number of phases anticipated (number)
	b. Anticipated date of commencement phase 1: month year. (including demolition)
	c. Approximate completion date of final phase: month year.
	d. Is phase 1 functionally dependent on subsequent phases? Yes No
8.	Will blasting occur during construction? Yes No
9,	Number of jobs generated: during construction; after project is complete
10.	Number of jobs eliminated by this project
11.	Will project require relocation of any projects or facilities? Yes No
	If yes, explain:
	not applicable
12	. Is surface liquid waste disposal involved? Yes
	a. If yes, indicate type of waste (sewage, industrial, etc) and amount
	b. Name of water body into which effluent will be discharged
	. Is subsurface liquid waste disposal involved? Yes No Type
14	. Will surface area of an existing water body increase or decrease by proposal? Yes No
	if yes, explain:
	not applicable
15	. Is project or any portion of project located in a 100 year flood plain? Yes No
16	. Will the project generate solid waste? Yes No
	a. If yes, what is the amount per month?tons
	b. If yes, will an existing solid waste facility be used? Yes No
	c. If yes, give name ; location
	d. Will any wastes not go into a sewage disposal system or into a sanitary landfill? Yes No

Page 6 of 21

e.	If yes, explain:
19 19 20	. Will the project involve the disposal of solid waste? Yes No a. If yes, what is the anticipated rate of disposal? tons/month. b. If yes, what is the anticipated site life? years. . Will project use herbicides or pesticides? Yes No . Will project routinely produce odors (more than one hour per day)? Yes No . Will project produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels? Yes No . Will project result in an increase in energy use? Yes No If yes, indicate type(s)
23 24	2. If water supply is from wells, indicate pumping capacity gallons/minute. 3. Total anticipated water usage per day gallons/day. 4. Does project involve Local, State or Federal funding? Yes No If yes, explain:

25.	Approvals Required:			Туре	Submittal Date
	City, Town, Village Board	Ye5	No	Creation of Overlay District	9/6/2012
	City, Town, Village Planning Board	Yes	No No		
	City. Town Zoning Board	Yes	No		
	City, County Health Department	Yes	No No		
	Other Local Agencies	Yes	No		
	Other Regional Agencies	Yes	No		
	State Agencles	Yes	No No		
	Federal Agencies	Yes	No		
C. 1.	Zoning and Planning Information Does proposed action involve a pla If Yes, indicate decision required:	nning or zonin	ig decision?		
	Zoning amendment	Zoning val		New/revision of master plan	Subdivision
	Site plan	Special us	e permit	Resource management plan	Other

Page 8 of 21

Additionally, the Town Board, as lead agency, must make a Determination of Significance pursuant to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR"). This determination must occur prior to Town Board approval of this Petition.

Legal Standard for granting of Amendment to Zoning Ordinance

Pursuant to New York Town Law § 272-a(11)(a), "All town land use regulations must be in accordance with a comprehensive plan adopted pursuant to this section", The Town of Sardinia has a Comprehensive Plan, adopted in 2003. The New York State Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department has recognized that town comprehensive plans should be flexible and should adapt to changes over time. 1

Grounds for Granting of Petition

The Town Comprehensive Plan recognizes the need for change, as it states at page 1 that the Plan will influence changes in Town zoning ... during the next 10 to 15 years". Accordingly, the below chart indicates how the Town Comprehensive Plan is outdated as to sand and gravel mining within the Town.

2003 Data from Comprehensive Plan	Current Data per NYSDEC
882 acres of permitted mines (page 41)	514 acres of permitted mines

Additionally, the acreage in the above table only contemplates acreage permitted by the NYSDEC and does not deduct mineable acreages remaining. The following table estimates the mineable acreages remaining in the Town.

Mine	Mineable Acreage
Gernatt – Gabel Thomas	200
Gernatt – Theif Road	7
Gernatt – Sardinia South	37
Gernatt – Rt. 39 Clay Plt	6
Morris	3
Woodruff	33
Waste Management	7
Total	293

¹ Kravetz v. Plenge, 84 AD2d 422, 429-430 (4th Dept 1982) ("Enactments to change zoning plans should be analyzed in terms of consistency and rationality, with the requirement they not conflict with the fundamental land use policies and development plans of the community, keeping in mind that a comprehensive plan must be flexible and subject to some changes. Changed conditions call for changed plans, and owners of property in a particular zone enjoy no eternally vested rights to its classification if the public interest demands otherwise. Although stability and regularity are essential to the operation of zoning plans, zoning is not static; the obligation is the support of comprehensive planning with recognition of the dynamics of change, not a slavish servitude to any particular plan.") (internal citations omitted).

W	hat is the zoning classification(s) of the site?
A	R (Agricultural / Residential), BL (Business / Light Industrial) and LC (Limited Commercial)
W	hat is the maximum potential development of the site if developed as permitted by the present zoning?
Y	aries, per Zoning Code
W	hat is the proposed zoning of the site?
S	ame zoning, but creation of Sand, Clay and Gravel Overlay District.
w	hat is the maximum potential development of the site if developed as permitted by the proposed zoning?
V	aries, per Zoning Code
S	the proposed action consistent with the recommended uses in adopted local land use plans?
	Continue to use zoning regulations to limit the areas in which commercial gravel mines are permitted to operate" p. 45 of Comp. Plan.
W	hat are the predominant land use(s) and zoning classifications within a ¼ mile radius of proposed action?
V	arues .
]	
ŝ	the proposed action compatible with adjoining/surrounding land uses with a ¼ mile?
lf	the proposed action is the subdivision of land, how many lots are proposed?
3.	What is the minimum lot size proposed?

Page 9 of 21

O. Will proposed action require any authorization(s) for the formation of sewer or water districts? Yes 🖪 No
1. Will the proposed action create a demand for any community provided services (recreation, education, police, fire protection?
Yes No
a. If yes, is existing capacity sufficient to handle projected demand? Yes No
2. Will the proposed action result in the generation of traffic significantly above present levels?
a. If yes, is the existing road network adequate to handle the additional traffic.
D. Informational Details
Attach any additional information as may be needed to clarify your project. If there are or may be any adverse impacts associated with your proposal, please discuss such impacts and the measures which you propose to mitigate or avoid them.
. Verification
I certify that the information provided above is true to the best of my knowledge.
Applicant/Sponsor Name Gernatt Asphalt Products, Inc. Date 9/6/2012
Signature Peter J Sur
Title Peter J. Sorgi, Esq., attorney (see attached authorization)

If the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

AUTHORIZATION

Gernatt Asphalt Products, Inc. hereby authorizes and Peter J. Sorgi, Esq., Hopkins & Sorgi, PLLC, to file and execute via signature its Petition to Amend the Town of Sardinia Zoning by Creation of a Sand, Clay and Gravel Mining Overlay District, as well as any related documents including documents submitted pursuant to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.

GERNATT ASPHALT PRODUCTS, INC.

Kenneth F. Ziccarelli, Vice President Regulatory Affairs

Date: September 6, 2012

PART 2 - PROJECT IMPACTS AND THEIR MAGNITUDE

Responsibility of Lead Agency

- In completing the form the reviewer should be guided by the question: Have my responses and determinations been ļ reasonable? The reviewer is not expected to be an expert environmental analyst.
- The Examples provided are to assist the reviewer by showing types of impacts and wherever possible the threshold of ţ magnitude that would trigger a response in column 2. The examples are generally applicable throughout the State and for most situations. But, for any specific project or site other examples and/or lower thresholds may be appropriate for a Potential Large Impact response, thus requiring evaluation in Part 3.
- The impacts of each project, on each site, in each locality, will vary. Therefore, the examples are illustrative and have been ţ offered as guidance. They do not constitute an exhaustive list of impacts and thresholds to answer each question.
- The number of examples per question does not indicate the importance of each question.
- In identifying impacts, consider long term, short term and cumulative effects. ļ

Instructions (Read carefully)

- Answer each of the 20 questions in PART 2. Answer Yes if there will be any impact.
- Maybe enswers should be considered as Yes answers. b,
- If answering Yes to a question then check the appropriate box(column 1 or 2)to indicate the potential size of the impact. If C. impact threshold equals or exceeds any example provided, check column 2. If impact will occur but threshold is lower than example, check column 1.
- Identifying that an Impact will be potentially large (column 2) does not mean that it is also necessarily significant. Any ď. large impact must be evaluated in PART 3 to determine significance. Identifying an impact in column 2 simply asks that it be looked at further.
- If reviewer has doubt about size of the impact then consider the impact as potentially large and proceed to PART 3. ė.
- If a potentially large impact checked in column 2 can be mitigated by change(s) in the project to a small to moderate f. impact, also check the Yes box in column 3. A No response indicates that such a reduction is not possible. This must be explained in Part 3.

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
	Impact on Land			
	Proposed Action result in a physical change to the project			
site?	NO YES			
Ex •	amples that would apply to column 2 Any construction on slopes of 15% or greater, (15 foot rise per 100 foot of length), or where the general slopes in the project area exceed 10%.			Yes No
•	Construction on land where the depth to the water table is less than 3 feet.			Yes No
•	Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.			Yes No
	Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface.			Yes No
•	Construction that will continue for more than 1 year or involve more than one phase or stage.			Yes No
•	Excavation for mining purposes that would remove more than 1,000 tons of natural material (i.e., rock or soil) per year.	Andrease		Yes No

Page 11 of 21

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
		Construction or expansion of a santary landfill.			Yes No
		Construction in a designated floodway.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No
				*	
2.	Will the s	there be an effect to any unique or unusual land forms found on site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, geological formations, etc.) NO YES			
	•	Specific land forms:			Yes No
		Impact on Water			
3.	Will (Un ECL	Proposed Action affect any water body designated as protected? der Adicles 15, 24, 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law,			
	LOI	NO YES			
	Exa •	imples that would apply to column 2 Developable area of site contains a protected water body.			Yes No
	•	Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a protected stream.	<u></u> j	<u> </u>	Yes No
	•	Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body.			Yes No
	æ	Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:	<u>L</u>	LJ	L165
			<u></u>		
4.	Wil wal	Proposed Action affect any non-protected existing or new body of ter?			
		NO TYES			
	Exa •	amples that would apply to column 2 A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease.			Yes No
	•	Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area.			Yes No
	٠	Other impacts:			Yes No
			are and the second seco		

Page 12 of 21

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Se Mitigated by Project Change
5.	Will Proposed Action affect surface or groundwater quality or quantity?			
	Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will require a discharge permit.			Yes No
	 Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action. 			Yes No
	 Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity. 			Yes No
	 Construction or operation causing any contamination of a water supply system. 			Yes No
	Proposed Action will adversely affect groundwater.			Yes No
	 Liquid effluent will be conveyed off the site to facilities which presently do not exist or have inadequate capacity. 			Yes No
	 Proposed Action would use water in excess of 20,000 gallons per day. 			Yes No
	 Proposed Action will likely cause siltation or other discharge into an existing body of water to the extent that there will be an obvious visual contrast to natural conditions. 			Yes No
	 Proposed Action will require the storage of petroleum or chemical products greater than 1,100 gallons. 			Yes No
	Proposed Action will allow residential uses in areas without water and/or sewer services.			Yes No
	 Proposed Action locates commercial and/or industrial uses which may require new or expansion of existing waste treatment and/or storage facilities. 			Yes No
	Other impacts:	entered and the second		Yes No

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
	Will Proposed Action alter drainage flow or patterns, or surface water unoff?			
	n and a single in a small blandth evicting deginge patteres			Yes No Yes No
•	a de la litation de colonia e de designated			Yes No
•	Other impacts:		Ш	Yes No
	IMPACT ON AIR			
7. \	Will Proposed Action affect air quality? NO YES			
	Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will induce 1,000 or more vehicle trips in any given hour.			Yes No
•	 Proposed Action will result in the incineration of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour. 			Yes No
•	 Emission rate of total contaminants will exceed 5 lbs. per hour or a heat source producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour. 			Yes No
•	 Proposed Action will allow an increase in the amount of land committed to industrial use. 			Yes No
•	 Proposed Action will allow an increase in the density of industrial development within existing industrial areas. 			Yes No
	Other impacts;			Yes No
	IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS			
8.	Will Proposed Action affect any threatened or endangered species? NO YES			
	Examples that would apply to column 2 Reduction of one or more species listed on the New York or Federal list, using the site, over or near the site, or found on the site.			Yes No

Page 14 of 21

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
•	Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habital.			Yes No
•	Application of pesticide or herbicide more than twice a year, other than for agricultural purposes.	Ecol		Yes No
•	Other impacts:			Yes No
	ill Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or non- idangered species? NO YES			
E) •	camples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish, shellfish or wildlife species.			Yes No
•	Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation.			Yes No
	Other impacts:			Yes No
10. W	IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES VIII Proposed Action affect agricultural land resources? NO YES			
E:	xamples that would apply to column 2 The Proposed Action would sever, cross or limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.)			Yes No
•	Construction activity would excavate or compact the soil profile of agricultural land.			Yes No
•	The Proposed Action would irreversibly convert more than 10 acres of agricultural land or, if located in an Agricultural District, more than 2.5 acres of agricultural land.			Yes No

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
•	The Proposed Action would disrupt or prevent installation of agricultural land management systems (e.g., subsurface drain lines, outlet ditches, strip cropping); or create a need for such measures (e.g. cause a farm field to drain poorly due to increased runoff).			Yes No
	Other impacts:			Yes No
·				
	IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES			
11. V	Will Proposed Action affect aesthetic resources? (If necessary, use the Visual EAF Addendum in Section 617.20, Appendix B.)			
1	 Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed land uses, or project components obviously different from or in sharp contrast to current surrounding land use patterns, whether man-made or natural. 			Yes No
•	 Proposed land uses, or project components visible to users of aesthetic resources which will eliminate or significantly reduce their enjoyment of the aesthetic qualities of that resource. 			Yes No
	 Project components that will result in the elimination or significant screening of scenic views known to be important to the area. 			Yes No
	Other impacts:			Yes No
	IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES			
12.	Will Proposed Action impact any site or structure of historic, prehistoric or paleontological importance? NO YES			
	 Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action occurring wholly or partially within or substantially contiguous to any facility or site listed on the State or National Register of historic places. 		L	Yes No
	Any impact to an archaeological site or fossil bed located within			Yes No
	 Proposed Action will occur in an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NYS Site Inventory. 			Yes No

Page 16 of 21

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Miligaled by Project Change
•	Other impacts:		The state of the s	Yes No
	IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION			
13. Will ope	proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future in spaces or recreational opportunities? NO YES			
E xa •	amples that would apply to column 2 The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity.			Yes No
•	A major reduction of an open space important to the community.			Yes No
•	Other impacts:			Yes No
	IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS			
çha pur	I Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique tracteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established sugart to subdivision 6NYCRR 617.14(g)? NO YES It the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of			
	CEA			A control of the cont
Ex	amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action to locate within the CEA?			Yes No
•	Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource?	Control of the Contro		Yes No
•	Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quality of the resource?			Yes No
•	Proposed Action will impact the use, function or enjoyment of the			Yes No
	resource?			Yes No
•	Other impacts:		and Promote the State of the St	

Page 17 of 21

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
IMPACT ON TR	ANSPORTATION			
15. Will there be an effect to existin NO YES	g transportation systems?			
Examples that would apply to 0 Alteration of present pattern goods.	olumn 2 ns of movement of people and/or			Yes No
Proposed Action will result	in major traffic problems.			Yes No
Other impacts:		1981 Southerness with many the many transfer and the segment was a segment of the		Yes No
	AND STATE OF THE S	Calle a second s		
IMPACT (ON ENERGY			
16. Will Proposed Action affect the energy supply?	community's sources of fuel or			
☐NO ☐YES				
 Examples that would apply to d Proposed Action will cause use of any form of energy i 	a greater than 5% increase in the			Yes No
 Proposed Action will require 	e the creation or extension of an			Yes No
single or two family reside or industrial use.	energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two family residences or to serve a major commercial or industrial use.			- Formy
Other impacts:				∐Yes ∐No
		- eg. 6.4 - h h h h h h h h d al a h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h h		
	ODOR IMPACT			
17. Will there be objectionable odd the Proposed Action?	ors, noise, or vibration as a result of			
□NO □YES				
 Examples that would apply to Blasting within 1,500 feet facility. 	column 2 of a hospital, school or other sensitive			Yes No
·	(more than one hour per day).			Yes No
Proposed Action will prod	uce operating noise exceeding the s for noise outside of structures.			Yes No
 Proposed Action will remondise screen. 	ove natural barriers that would act as a			Yes No
Other impacts:				Yes No
	3.20 July 2017 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	10.		

Page 18 of 21

	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH			
 Will Proposed Action affect public health and safety? NO YES Proposed Action may cause a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (i.e. oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.) in the event of accident or upset conditions, or there may be a chronic low level discharge or emission. 			Yes No
 Proposed Action may result in the burial of "hazardous wastes" in any form (i.e. toxio, poisonous, highly reactive, radioactive, irritating, infectious, etc.) 			Yes No
 Storage facilities for one million or more gallons of liquefied natural gas or other flammable liquids. 			Yes No
 Proposed Action may result in the excavation or other disturbance within 2,000 feet of a site used for the disposal of 			Yes No
• Other impacts:			∏Yęs □No
IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD 19. Will Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? NO YES		·	
Examples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%.			Yes No
 The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of 	,		Yes No
Proposed Action will conflict with officially adopted plans or			Yes No
 Proposed Action will cause a change in the density of land use. 			Yes No
 Proposed Action will replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures or areas of historic importance to the community. 			Yes No
 Development will create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire, etc.) 			Yes No

Page 19 of 21

		1 Small to Moderale Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Se Mitigated by Project Change
•	Proposed Action will set an important precedent for future projects.			Yes No
•	Proposed Action will create or eliminate employment.			Yes No
•	Other impacts:	AA AASSA		Yes No
2∩ İsi	here, or is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential		a Addition to the state of the	COMMENTS OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
	verse environment impacts? NO YES			

If Any Action in Part 2 is identified as a Potential Large impact or if you Cannot Determine the Magnitude of Impact, Proceed to Part 3

Part 3 - EVALUATION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF IMPACTS

Responsibility of Lead Agency

Part 3 must be prepared if one or more impact(s) is considered to be potentially large, even if the impact(s) may be mitigated.

Instructions (If you need more space, attach additional sheets)

Discuss the following for each impact identified in Column 2 of Part 2:

- 1. Briefly describe the impact.
- Describe (if applicable) how the impact could be mitigated or reduced to a small to moderate impact by project change(s).
- 3. Based on the information available, decide if it is reasonable to conclude that this impact is important.

To answer the question of importance, consider;

- ! The probability of the impact occurring
- ! The duration of the impact
- ! Its irreversibility, including permanently lost resources of value
- I Whether the impact can or will be controlled
- ! The regional consequence of the impact
- ! Its potential divergence from local needs and goals
- ! Whether known objections to the project relate to this impact.

Page 21 of 21

Reset